

Empowering Global Citizens in the Digital Age: The Role of AI in Advancing Equity and Ethics in Education

I Gusti Ngurah Santika^{1*}, I Wayan Kandia², I Made Sila³, Yosua Wanda⁴, Yuliani Darnis⁵

^{1,3,4,5}Universitas Dwijendra, Indonesia

²IKIP Saraswati, Indonesia

*) Corresponding author: ngurahsantika88@gmail.com

Abstract

The digital transformation of education presents both opportunities and challenges in fostering global citizenship among learners. This article explores the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in strengthening Global Citizenship Education (GCE), with a focus on three core dimensions: equity, ethics, and learner engagement. Employing a qualitative descriptive approach and a systematic literature review, the study examines how AI can be leveraged to support inclusive, ethical, and globally relevant learning experiences. The analysis reveals that AI has the potential to broaden educational access for marginalized communities, facilitate intercultural understanding, and enhance contextualized learning through adaptive and interactive technologies. However, the study also highlights critical concerns, including algorithmic bias, data privacy violations, and digital divides that may exacerbate existing educational inequalities. To address these challenges, the paper calls for the development of ethical governance frameworks, inclusive education policies, and cross-sector collaboration to ensure that AI serves as a transformative tool in shaping critical, empathetic, and responsible global citizens. Ultimately, the article advocates for an AI integration model that is not only technologically effective but also rooted in the humanistic values central to global citizenship education.

Keywords: global citizens; digital age; education.

Introduction

The 21st century presents a dynamic and interconnected world, where global issues such as environmental degradation, widening social inequalities, geopolitical unrest, migration flows, and rapid technological advances are increasingly shaping how societies operate (Buka et al., 2022). In this evolving landscape, education is expected to serve more than its traditional role of equipping learners with vocational and academic skills. It is also tasked with preparing individuals to act as informed, responsible, and ethical members of a global community (Kurniawan, 2023). This growing need has brought Global Citizenship Education (GCE) to the forefront of educational discourse, advocating for a

curriculum grounded in equity, empathy, intercultural understanding, and civic responsibility at both local and international levels.

Despite growing recognition of its importance, implementing GCE remains a challenge in many educational contexts. Schools and institutions, especially in under-resourced regions, frequently struggle with limited access to technology, insufficient teaching capacity, outdated curricula, and structural inequities (Santika, 2019). Within this context, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a promising tool to reimagine education not just by automating tasks or improving efficiency, but by offering transformative possibilities for personalization, inclusion, and meaningful global engagement (Dewi, 2025). AI technologies can help tailor

learning experiences to individual needs, facilitate intercultural communication, and provide scalable solutions for delivering globally relevant education across diverse settings (Zahro et al., 2023).

AI is no longer a futuristic concept in education; it is already being employed through adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring programs, and predictive analytics that support student development (Santika, 2021). In GCE, AI has the potential to democratize access to diverse content, bridge language and cultural barriers, and promote interactive, real-time learning experiences that simulate global challenges—thus enhancing critical thinking and empathy among learners (Floridi, 2019). AI can also facilitate peer collaboration across countries, creating global classrooms where learners engage with multiple worldviews and develop intercultural competencies.

However, the integration of AI into education also presents significant ethical and practical concerns. The algorithms that power AI systems are built upon data that may encode societal biases such as racial, gender, or class-based discrimination which can manifest in unjust learning outcomes (Banks, 2017). Moreover, disparities in digital access mean that some learners may be excluded from the benefits AI offers. Other risks include threats to student privacy, reduced human interaction in learning environments, and lack of transparency in algorithmic decision-making. These concerns highlight the need for a cautious, critical, and ethically grounded approach to AI implementation in education (Sujana & Pali, 2024).

To ensure that AI contributes meaningfully to the goals of global citizenship education, its adoption must be guided by ethical principles and a deep understanding of its social implications. Technology should be seen not as a neutral or purely technical solution, but as a system embedded in cultural values and power structures that influence how learners form identities and relate to others. As such, the use of AI in education should aim to cultivate not only cognitive skills, but also moral and social awareness aligned with the values of justice, inclusion, and collective well-being.

This paper explores how AI can support the core objectives of GCE by advancing three foundational pillars: equity, ethics, and learner engagement (Zawacki et al., 2020). Drawing on interdisciplinary literature, emerging educational practices, and critical technological perspectives, this study offers a framework for ethically integrating AI into global education. In doing so, it seeks to highlight how technology, when thoughtfully applied, can contribute to building an education system that is not only intelligent and effective, but also compassionate, inclusive, and globally responsive.

Method

This study employs a qualitative, descriptive-analytical approach aimed at exploring the intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and global citizenship education (GCE), with a focus on the values of equity, ethics, and learner engagement. Relying entirely on secondary data, the research is grounded in a systematic literature review of scholarly articles, institutional reports, and case studies accessed through databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar (Kartika & Umbu, 2024). Keywords including “AI in education,” “global citizenship,” “educational equity,” “AI ethics,” and “digital engagement” were used to guide the selection of sources published primarily within the last decade. The collected literature was analyzed thematically, with key findings categorized under three domains: (1) AI and equity in education, (2) ethical implications of AI use, and (3) AI-enhanced engagement in GCE. Content analysis was conducted critically to examine the underlying assumptions, power relations, and potential biases within the integration of AI technologies into educational systems. Although this study does not involve empirical fieldwork, its conceptual depth and analytical synthesis provide a robust foundation for understanding how AI can ethically and effectively support the aims of global citizenship education (Santika & Suastika, 2022).

Result and Discussion

The growing intersection between artificial intelligence (AI) and global citizenship education (GCE) marks a turning point in how educational systems worldwide respond to the challenges of the 21st century. In the face of globalization, technological acceleration, and socio-environmental crises, there is an urgent need to reimagine education as a force for cultivating not only knowledge and skills, but also values, empathy, and critical awareness (UNESCO, 2015). GCE seeks to empower learners to understand complex global systems, embrace cultural diversity, and take action for social justice and sustainability. Within this vision, AI emerges as both a tool and a subject of inquiry capable of facilitating access to education across borders, while also requiring scrutiny in terms of its ethical and social consequences. Its integration into GCE is not merely a matter of technology adoption, but a deeper process of pedagogical transformation that redefines the nature of learning, participation, and responsibility in the digital age (Sujianti & Adnyana, 2024).

AI has the potential to dramatically reduce barriers to quality education, especially for historically marginalized communities. In many developing countries, the lack of qualified teachers, learning materials, and infrastructure has long hindered equitable learning opportunities (Buckingham & Ferguson, 2012). AI-based educational platforms, if designed inclusively, can bridge these gaps by offering tailored instruction, language translation, and real-time support that adapts to the learner's pace and context. Moreover, AI can support inclusive education for students with disabilities by enabling assistive technologies such as speech-to-text tools, emotion recognition for social learning, and adaptive assessments (Santika, 2021). These features, when aligned with the goals of GCE, can democratize access to knowledge and cultivate the capacities learners need to engage meaningfully with global issues. However, such advancements depend not only on technological capability, but also on political will, investment in digital infrastructure, and the development of policies that promote equity in the design and deployment of AI tools.

Nevertheless, the promise of AI must be tempered by a critical awareness of its limitations and the structural inequalities it may inadvertently reinforce. AI systems are only as fair and effective as the data on which they are trained. If datasets used to train educational AI are biased based on dominant linguistic, cultural, or socioeconomic norms then the algorithms may produce discriminatory outcomes, perpetuating historical inequities under the guise of objectivity (Kandia, 2023). For example, recommendation systems may direct different types of content to students based on stereotypical assumptions about their abilities or interests. Without mechanisms for transparency and accountability, such outcomes can undermine the very principles of fairness and inclusion that GCE aims to promote. Moreover, the digital divide remains a persistent issue. While AI tools are increasingly accessible in high-income countries, students in rural, remote, or underserved urban areas often lack basic internet access, digital devices, or digital literacy. This gap not only limits the reach of AI-based learning but also risks deepening global inequalities if educational innovation becomes concentrated in already privileged contexts.

Ethical considerations surrounding AI in education are especially crucial when the focus is on global citizenship. GCE is inherently concerned with nurturing ethical reasoning, respect for human rights, and social responsibility (Pelokilla, 2023). Yet, AI systems despite their efficiencies lack the moral agency and contextual judgment that educators bring to learning environments. When educational decisions are influenced or even automated by algorithms—such as grading, placement, or identifying students “at risk” there is a risk that human judgment, empathy, and discretion are sidelined. Furthermore, the collection and processing of student data raise serious privacy concerns. Who owns the data? How is it stored, used, and protected? Can students and educators opt out of surveillance-based systems? These questions highlight the need for robust governance frameworks that protect learners' rights and dignity. AI in education must therefore be guided by ethical design

principles, including fairness, accountability, explainability, and inclusiveness. Such principles are not only technical requirements but reflect the ethical orientation that GCE aspires to instill in learners (Santika et al. 2022).

In terms of engagement, AI offers exciting opportunities to reimagine how students interact with learning content, peers, and real-world issues. Interactive simulations, intelligent discussion platforms, and global virtual classrooms can create immersive experiences that allow students to “live” global challenges in ways that textbooks alone cannot achieve. For instance, AI-driven platforms can simulate climate negotiations, refugee crises, or pandemic response scenarios, enabling students to explore the complexities of global governance and practice collaborative problem-solving. Such tools have the potential to foster emotional and cognitive engagement, encouraging learners to reflect critically and empathetically on their roles as global citizens. However, engagement must go beyond digital interactivity. Without pedagogical guidance, AI-based tools may result in shallow participation or passive consumption of information (Williamson et al., 2020). Teachers play an essential role in framing digital content, facilitating discussion, and guiding reflection ensuring that technological engagement remains anchored in ethical learning and critical inquiry. Therefore, professional development for educators must be prioritized so they can effectively integrate AI into their teaching without sacrificing the relational and moral dimensions of education.

Finally, a balanced approach is needed one that embraces the innovative potential of AI while also critically interrogating its design, application, and broader implications. AI should not be seen as a neutral force or a substitute for human educators, but as a socio-technical system embedded within complex educational, cultural, and political landscapes. Stakeholders across sectors educators, developers, policymakers, students, and communities must engage in collective dialogue to ensure that AI is developed in ways that are culturally sensitive, ethically grounded, and pedagogically sound. Only by aligning technological innovation with the

values of justice, inclusion, and human dignity can AI become a true ally in advancing the goals of global citizenship education. It is not enough to use AI to teach about the world; AI itself must reflect the kind of world we aspire to build—one that is fair, participatory, and deeply human.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence (AI) holds significant potential to enhance Global Citizenship Education (GCE) by promoting equitable access, increasing learner engagement, and fostering ethical values. AI can facilitate more inclusive and personalized learning experiences, reaching students from diverse backgrounds and enriching their understanding of global issues in a contextual and interactive manner. However, this potential is accompanied by substantial risks, including algorithmic bias, data privacy violations, and digital divides that may exacerbate educational inequalities.

Therefore, the integration of AI in education cannot focus solely on technical aspects. A clear ethical framework and policies must be established to ensure that AI deployment aligns with the core values of GCE, such as justice, equity, and humanity. The role of educators remains crucial as moral and social mediators in the learning process, even as technology becomes increasingly dominant. Collaboration among technology developers, educators, policymakers, and learners is essential to create AI-driven educational systems that are fair, inclusive, and globally meaningful.

Thus, AI will only truly serve as an empowering tool for global citizens if its use is situated within a human-centered framework. Technology should not replace educational values but rather reinforce them helping to cultivate a generation that is not only digitally literate but also wise, compassionate, and responsible as members of the global community.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to express sincere gratitude to the Faculty of Teacher Training

and Education, Universitas Dwijendra for the financial support provided to enable faculty members to participate in this seminar. This support has not only facilitated active engagement in a prestigious academic forum but also encouraged the development of scholarly insight, cross-institutional collaboration, and contributions to strengthening research capacity in the field of global education technology. It is hoped that this support will continue to inspire a progressive and innovative academic culture within Universitas Dwijendra.

References

- Banks, J. A. (2017). Teaching global citizenship in a fragmented world. *Educational Researcher*, 46(9), 451–458. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X17737011>
- Buckingham Shum, S., & Ferguson, R. (2012). Social learning analytics. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 15(3), 3–26. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/jeductechsoci.15.3.3>
- Buka, V., Santika, I. G. N., Kartika, I. M., & Sujana, I. G. (2022). Implementasi Nilai-Nilai Pancasila dalam Budaya Mana'o di Desa Manu Kuku Kabupaten Sumba Barat. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Sosial*, 8(1), 109–117.
- Dewi, N. M. T. (2025). Reaktualisasi Nilai Pancasila Dalam Pembangunan Hukum Nasional Di Era Globalisasi. *IJOLARES: Indonesian Journal of Law Research*, 3(1), 1–10.
- Floridi, L. (2019). Artificial intelligence, education, and the fourth industrial revolution: Ethical challenges and opportunities. *Philosophy & Technology*, 32(2), 221–235. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-019-00350-2>
- Kandia, I. W. (2023). Sejarah Perjalanan Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan Dalam Kurikulum Di Indonesia. *JOCER: Journal of Civic Education Research*, 1(2), 65–75.
- Kartika, I. M., & Umbu, M. L. (2024). Demoralisasi Pancasila Dalam Penegakan Hukum di Indonesia. *IJOLARES: Indonesian Journal of Law Research*, 2(1), 1–6.
- Kurniawan, I. D. (2023). The Meaning of the Principle of Material Legality in the Reform of Indonesian Criminal Law. *IJOLARES: Indonesian Journal of Law Research*, 1(2), 37–40.
- Pelokilla, J. (2023). UUD 1945 Sebagai Landasan Konstitusional Terhadap Perlindungan Hak Warga Negara Indonesia. *JOCER: Journal of Civic Education Research*, 1(1), 24–28.
- Santika, I. G. N., Rindawan, I. K., & Sujana, I. G. (2019, December). Memperkuat Pancasila Melalui Pergub No. 79 Tahun 2018 Dalam Menanggulangi Pengikisan Budaya Di Era Revolusi Industri 4.0. *In Seminar Nasional Inovasi Dalam Penelitian Sains, Teknologi Dan Humaniora-InoBali* (pp. 981–990).
- Santika, I. G. N. (2021). *Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan: Studi Komparatif Konstitusi Dengan UUD 1945*.
- Santika, I. G. N., & Suastika, I. N. (2022). Efforts of State-Owned Enterprises (SOE) in Disseminating Pancasila by Actualizing Tri Hita Karana (THK). *JED (Jurnal Etika Demokrasi)*, 7(1), 14–27.
- Santika, I. G. N. (2020). Menggali dan Menemukan Roh Pancasila Secara Kontekstual. Penerbit Lakeisha.
- Santika, I. G. N., Arnyana, I. B. P., Suastra, I. W., & Kartika, I. M. (2022). Contents Standard Policy of Basic Education in The National Level Reviewed from The Scope of Citizenship Education Materials. *Journal of Sustainable Development Science*, 4(1), 29–36.
- Santika, I. G. N. (2022). Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan: Problematika Hasil Perubahan UUD 1945 Secara Konseptual.
- Sila, I. M. (2024). Membangun Kesadaran Hukum Warga Negara Melalui Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan. *JOCER: Journal of Civic Education Research*, 2(1), 8–14.
- Sujana, I. G. (2024). Kedudukan dan Fungsi Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Pasca Perubahan UUD 1945. *IJOLARES: Indonesian Journal of Law Research*, 2(1), 7–13.
- Sujana, I. G., & Pali, R. A. (2024). Hubungan Hak Asasi Manusia Dengan Demokrasi. *JOCER: Journal of Civic Education Research*, 2(2), 45–52.
- Sujianti, N. P. I. P., & Adnyana, G. T. (2024). Syarat Pewarganegaraan Menurut Undang-Undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2006 Tentang Kewarganegaraan Republik Indonesia. *JOCER: Journal of Civic Education Research*, 2(1), 22–27.
- Tunggal, S. (2023). Membangun Kesadaran Politik Warga Negara Melalui Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan. *JOCER: Journal of Civic Education Research*, 1(1), 11–15.
- UNESCO. (2015). Global citizenship education: Topics and learning objectives. *UNESCO Publishing*.

<https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000232993>

- Wijaya, R. (2023). Fungsi Mahkamah Konstitusi Dalam Pengujian Undang-Undang Terhadap Undang-Undang Dasar 1945. *IJOLARES: Indonesian Journal of Law Research*, 1(1), 23-27.
- Williamson, B., Eynon, R., & Potter, J. (2020). Pandemic politics, pedagogies and practices: Digital technologies and distance education during the coronavirus emergency. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(2), 107–114. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1761641>
- Zahro, S., Kamilah, M. N., Ardiansyah, M., Safitri, I. M., Naharina, P. S., & Waraswati, A. N. (2023). Kesadaran Berpolitik Di Indonesia. *JOCER: Journal of Civic Education Research*, 1(2), 49-64.
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>